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First off, it is called a seat belt, not a seat buckle. The belt is the overall mechanism, while the buckle is simply a piece of the whole. In the first body paragraph, you have only a lead-in to a quote, the quote, and a single sentence summing up what is said in the quote. That is not a paragraph. The second paragraph is the same story where there is no real analysis there, it is just stating a quote and summarizing that quote then moving on. Also, check your formatting on your quotes and citations. There is no real flow to the essay either, it simply goes from point to point without expanding on anything at all. There should not be a three-line paragraph either, that is simply incorrect in this format of an essay. There should not be random parentheses as well, those are reserved for citations. You also rarely mention the supposed limited freedom that the safety belt laws impose, as aside from the thesis, it takes a page and a half to mention it again. There is far more focus on the safety aspect of these issues rather than the actual effects it has on freedom. On the topic of speeding, you seem to solely argue for having a lack of a speed limit, without providing the other side’s arguments or giving any counter-examples, At least not before moving on to a different topic in a new paragraph. You NEED to expand on things more. Simply stating something from research is not being analytical. There is minimal flow between paragraphs/sentences and countless misspellings as well.